

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 09/778,334             | HUFFER ET AL.       |  |

  

|                    |                 |  |
|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner</b>    | <b>Art Unit</b> |  |
| Michael C. Miggins | 1772            |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael C. Miggins.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steve Nash.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 November 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1, 10 and 27*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner's amendment approved.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)